Approved For Release 2004/12/01 : CIA-RDP80M00465A002900010009-4

74-775

7 FEB 1974

MAKO

STAT

STAT STAT

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

Proposed Office of Political Research and

Strategic Evaluation Center

REFERENCE:

Memo dated 7 December 1973 to the DCI from

D/Pers, Subject: Establishment of Staffing

Complements for the Office of Political

Research and the Strategic Evaluation Center

- 1. Our comments have been requested on the staffing complements proposed for the new Office of Political Research (OPR) and the new Strategic Evaluation Center (SEC).
- 2. We have no basic problem with the organization and staffing structure for either the OPR or the SEC as proposed by the DDI and reviewed by the Office of Personnel in the referent Memorandum (attached). I believe you should approve formal establishment of these two new organizational elements in order that the Intelligence Directorate can get on with the activities concerned in a more orderly manner.

STAT

STAT STAT

## Approved For Release 2004/12/01 : CIA-RDP80M00165A002900010009-4

4. The question of increasing the DDI ceiling by accommodate the requested staffing levels for the OPR and the SEC is complicated by the fact that it can be finally resolved only after the ADD Committee returns its recommendations on how the FY 1975 ceiling reduction will be allocated. In order, however, not to prejudice the ultimate structure of these elements, we believe the proposed position of the proposed positio	eve :tures ons
5. The question of supergrade ceiling is also somewhat compand the recommendations in the referent Memorandum have implicitly which I believe require elaboration. To meet the DDI shortfall in supergrade ceiling of the D/Pers recommends "that you directed that transfer of the supergrade ceiling resulting from the reorganization to the DDI" and "that you authorize the establishment of the remaining supergrade positions with zero incumbency. These recommendations resulted from the elimination of ONE (which had supergrade positions), the establishment of the NIO (for volly supergrade positions are required), and the request of the DDI for a net increase of new supergrade positions for the DPR and SEC staffing complements. The D/Pers recommendations apparently did not address the fact that the number of approved grade positions in the DCI area exceeded its supergrade ceiling and thus the elimination of ONE with the concurrent establishment NIO did not make supergrade ceiling available. There would apply to be three basic alternatives to be considered in the face of the supergrade ceiling dilemma. These alternatives, with brief commendations, with brief commendations, and the request of the supergrade ceiling dilemma.	cations n rect ONE ent" ich which he proposed s super- by STAT t of present
a. Approve the recommendation for transfer of grade ceiling from the DCI area to the DDI.	er- STAT
As a result of your recent approval of the ICS and N supergrade position and ceiling recommendations, the number supergrade positions in the DCI area is now identical with the supergrade ceiling (i.e., presently allocated to the DCI area. Therefore, if DCI supergrade ceiling is transferred to the DDI, there will again be an excess of supergrade position over ceiling in the DCI area. To conform to present policy, approval of this alternative would require the identification or	r of ne ns your

**STAT** 

STAT STAT

STAT

**STAT** 

Approved For Release 2004/12/012: CIA-RDP80M00165A002900010009-4

STAT

STAT

supergrade positions in the DCI area for elimination or reduction to GS-15 to comply with the action you (as Executive Director-Comptroller) directed be taken to eliminate supergrade authorizations in excess of ceiling (Memorandum for the DD's dated 14 December 1972).

b. Direct that the requested increase in DDI supergrade ceiling be offset by ceiling reductions in the other directorates (or in combination with a reduction in the DCI area).

Supergrade ceiling and on-duty figures for the DCI area and the four directorates as of this date are as follows:

	Ceiling	On-Duty	Unus	ed Ceiling
DCI DDI DDM&S DDO DDS&T				
TOTAL				

As with a. above, this alternative would require that the directorates losing ceiling identify supergrade positions to be downgraded to GS-15 in order to conform to present policy.

c. Disapprove, for the present, any increase in supergrade ceiling for the DDI.

The Office of Personnel has underway a survey of supergrade authorizations throughout the Agency. The DDO portion is nearing completion, and the other three directorates will be examined thereafter. The results of this survey may suggest the need for a reallocation of supergrade ceiling which could serve to ameliorate the longer-term implications of the current DCI - DDI supergrade ceiling problem. An additional consideration bearing on the problem is OMB's current interest in our supergrade and executive level allowances. Although

STAT

OMB has not directed a change in our supergrade ceiling at this time, they have indicated that our allowance for supergrade (and executive level) positions will be the subject of further examination and have requested additional information to justify our presently authorized ceiling.

- 6. For the past several years, the DCI area has had a supergrade underslotting or vacancy rate somewhat higher than that of the four directorates. In part for this reason and in order to provide a reasonable portion of the senior grade structure required to properly staff the new OPR and SEC, it is suggested that you may wish to approve the recommended transfer of supergrade ceiling to the DDI notwithstanding the implications noted above. We believe that such approval should be accompanied by an admonishment to the DDI that the increase is provisional and that the Directorate supergrade ceiling might later be changed following the Office of Personnel survey or as a result of possible OMB action.
- 7. In sum, I believe you should approve the recommendations made by the D/Pers subject to certain modifications suggested by the foregoing comments and discussion. The recommendations are repeated below for your convenience with our suggested deletions in brackets and our suggested additions underscored. Specifically, it is recommended:
  - a. that you approve the proposed organizations subject to a complete position review at a later date when functional responsibilities become available in sufficient detail to validate proposed position levels;
  - b. that you direct the transfer on a provisional basis subject to later review and reevaluation of [the] supergrade ceiling and up to GS-14/15 ceiling [resulting from the ONE reorganization] from the DCI area to the DDI;
  - c. that you authorize the establishment of the remaining supergrade positions with zero planned incumbency until a subsequent complete supergrade review of the Agency;

**STAT** 

STAT

STAT

STAT

## Approved For Release 2004/12/01 : CIA-RDP80M00165A002900010009-4

STAT

	d. [that you authorize an increa	se in the position ceiling
<del>.</del>	requirements in the Intelligence Directions at home states and the Intelligence positions are the Intelligence provides	ctorate if ceiling is available,
ГАТ	otherwise] that theexcess position is not currently available be established	
	13 Hot Carrently available be establish	red at zero planned incumpency;
	e. that you authorize an increas	e in the Intelligence
	Directorate average grade [from 10.	754 to 10.811, if you
ΓΑΤ		vith the actual increase
	to be determined after final ceiling fi	gures are approved and
	the proposed grades of all positions I the Office of Personnel.	nave been evaluated by
	the office of Fersonner.	
		·
		Acting Comptrofler
3.	Attachment:	
	Referent Memorandum	
	The D/Pers recommendations modified	l as indicated in Paragraph 7
	above are APPROVED:	as marcated in raragraph,
	5/	
	Director of/Central Intelligence	Date
		Dute
•		
	Distribution:	
	Orig - Addressee (Return to Compt) 1 - ES/CIA	
	1 - ES/CIA 1 - ER	

Approved For Release 2004/12/01: CIA-RDP80M00165A002900010009-4

	UNCLASSIFIED	T	( 'FIDENTIAL	SECRET	1
Approve	d For Release 2004	/12/01	: CIA-RDP80M001	65A0029000100	່ວ09-4

## EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT

Routing Slip

TO:			ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL	,
	1	DCI					1
4	2	DDCI					
	3	S/MC					
	4	DDS&T					
	5	DDI					
	6	DDM&S					
	7	DDO					•
	8	D/DCI/IC					•
	9	D/DCI/NIO					
	10	OGC					
	11	OLC					•
	12	IG					
	13	Compt					
	14	D/Pers			,		*
ĺ	15	D/S					
	16	DTR				_	
	17	Asst/DCI				m	_
4	18	AO/DCI		1			
1	19					111	
	20						
ĺ	21						
	22				0		
		SUSPENSE		Date		_	
Remarks	 ::		1	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································		,
	12	troll		no DO	ELIO	ulelo.	
. 4	N	acucar.	er v			, ,	
							<del></del> 1
	/	Now!	1	mel	)		
L	+	· VIOW	sug	rua	/	-	
	1				/ Exe	cutive Secr	etory
Approved F	or I	Release 20	04/12/01 :	CIA-REP	80M0016	O HYDIO (COROLO	<u>04</u> 0009-4
				F		Date	

STAT